23rd ESARBICA General Conference



Dilemma in the disposition of e-mail records in public departments in Zimbabwe: The case of the Midlands Province

by

Samson Mutsagondo

Archivist, National Archives of Zimbabwe, Gweru Records Centre P.O. Box 825, Gweru, Zimbabwe, Telephone: 00263 773 510516 or 00263 54 225 021 samgondo1972@gmail.com/ 57649308@mylife.unisa.ac.za/ smutsagondo@yahoo.com

and

Godfrey Tsvuura

Lecturer, Zimbabwe Open University

10th Floor Century Towers, 45 SamoraMachel Ave, P.O Box MP1119

Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe. Cellphone +263 773 725 962.

gtsvuura@gmail.com/ godfreytsvuura@yahoo.com or tsvuurag@zou.ac.zw

1.0 Introduction

- •The dawn of e-mail a great relief to communication today.
- •Communication now easy, fast and at reasonable cost.
- •E-mail now the nerve centre of many organizations' communication and information policy and strategy.
- •Nevertheless, managing e-mail records has posed numerous challenges, leaving records & information practitioners on the horns of a dilemma:
- whether to preserve or destroy official e-mail records.
- whether or not to appraise e-mail records before disposition.
- whether to preserve e-mail records on the computer system or print to paper or save on external storage devices.
- whether to keep e-mail print-outs and external storage devices in storerooms or transfer them to Records Centres.

1.1 Problem statement

- •E-mail the de facto standard for business communication in both public and private organizations.
- Like other records, e-mail records enhance good governance, accountability, transparency and informed decision-making.
- •Unfortunately, e-mail records are poorly disposed in many public organizations, leaving such organizations devoid of records with administrative, informational and evidential value (Nengomasha and Beukes-Amiss:2002; Keakopa: 2007; Nengomasha: 2009).
- •This places records & information practitioners between a rock and a hard place and on the horns of a dilemma as they juggle between options as to how they should professionally dispose official e-mail records.

1.2 Objectives of the study

- To examine the current scenario concerning disposition (transfer and destruction) of e-mail records in public departments in Zimbabwe.
- •To outline e-mail records disposition dilemmas that public sector records practitioners face in Zimbabwe.
- •To assess the root causes of the dilemma.
- •To make recommendations on how to improve disposition of official email records.

1.3 Originality of the study

This study is important because:

- •E-mail management warrants special focus due to its widespread and substantive use within organizations (Nengomasha: 2009).
- The use of e-mail as a means of communication is increasing on a daily basis, thus making it pertinent to focus energy, time and resources on such an area- (Department of Arts and Culture –RSA: 2006).
- •Many countries do not have clear guidelines on how to deal with the management of e-mail records, despite its use in day to day administration of many organizations (Keakopa: 2007; Nengomasha: 2004 & Nengomasha and Beukes-Amiss: 2002).

1.4 Delimitations of the study

- •The study was restricted to destruction of transitory email records and the transfer of valuable e-mail records to secondary storage for example, storerooms and Records Centres.
- •The study was also restricted to government ministries and departments in the Midlands Province of Zimbabwe.
- •This excluded other public departments, namely statutory bodies and local authorities.

3.0 Literature review

3.1 Official and non-official e-mail

- •An e-mail refers to mail sent or received electronically.
- E-mail is divided into official and non-official mail.
- •Official e-mail is non-personal and involves correspondence to do with the tactical and strategic administration of an organization eg. policies and directives, correspondence, work schedules, agendas, minutes and reports.
- •Non-official e-mail includes personal messages, junk mail and announcements of social events.
- **3.2 Advantages of e-mail:** Cheap, fast, easy to use, reliable and secure and allows one to attach large volumes of data, without additional cost. **Disadvantages**: information overload, computer viruses, e-mail fatigue and security threats (Medina: 2013).

3.3 Approaches to managing e-mail records

3.3.1 Printing to paper

- •Printing to paper is the commonest approach (Wallace: 2001; Commonwealth of Virginia: 2009).
- •The e-mail print-out is given the same treatment as original paper records as regards appraisal, preservation, retention, destruction and transfer.

3.3.2 Managing e-mail within an e-mail system

In this approach, there are three types of folders namely a personal folder (for non-official mail), public folder (for official mail) and a shared folder (for special projects within the organization).

3.3.3 Integrating e-mail messages into a document management system

- •E-mail can also be managed within the Integrated Documents
- •and Records Management System (IDRMS).
- •Advantage- it protects the authenticity of e-mail in the same way as it protects the authenticity of all other records.

3.3.4 Creating folders that are stored outside the mailbox

- •Designated folders are created and different e-mail messages are assigned to the appropriate folders.
- •Advantage- it uses existing technology, but because it is not a records management tool, it has no global search capabilities (Commonwealth of Virginia: 2009).

3.4 Records disposition

- •Act of destroying or transferring e-mail records
- •Destruction is whereby ephemeral records are deleted in the case of erecords and burnt or shredded in the case of paper records.
- •Transfer of e-mail records takes two forms:
- > (i) from one system or medium to another, with due respect to their authenticity, integrity and usability.
- ➤(ii) transferring e-mail messages onto external storage devices like optical disks, floppy disks and magnetic tapes which are in turn taken to storerooms or records centres for secondary storage.

4.0 Research methodology

- •Qualitative study
- •Survey research design.
- •Data collection method: Questionnaire
- •Population: 45 Records and Information Officers from 45 government ministries and departments in the Midlands Province of Zimbabwe
- •Sample: 22 officers from the 45 departments
- •Sample size of 49%.
- •Sampling method: simple random sampling.

5.0 Findings of the study

5.1 Creation and receipt of e-mail records

- •All 22 informants expressed that their departments created and received e-mail records.
- However, 15 of them expressed that the rate of creation and receipt of e-mail records in their departments was so low that at times only 8 to 10 records were created or received in a month.

•Commonest types of e-mail records:

- ➤Official correspondences (10), Minutes (5)
- ➤ Policies (4), Circulars (3)
- There were no restrictions as to who created e-mail records.
- •The situation was different with receipt of official e-mail records. Responsibility of Records Officers (12 depts), IT officers (7 depts), HODs (3 depts).

NB: Only these officers had the password.

5.2 Dilemma in destroying, saving and transferring e-mail records

- •15 informants (68%) often tempted to destroy email messages soon after reading them or after relaying the messages to their intended recipients.
- •Only 7 informants (32%) saved the messages straight away.
- •The table below shows difficulties informants faced in destroying and transferring records.

Table 1: Difficulties informants faced in destroying and transferring e-mail records

Action	Faced difficulties	Did not face difficulties	Total
Destroying	15	7	22
Transferring	18	4	22

Table 2: Informants' options in destroying e-mail records

Option	Frequency	%
Destroy paper print-outs	16	73
Delete messages from the Inbox	6	27
Delete e-mails from the E-Mail Management System	0	0
Delete messages from the Document Management System	0	0
Delete messages from folders created outside the Inbox	0	0
Total	22	100

Interesting revelations

- •16 informants (73%) claimed they always destroyed e-mail messages
- •only 6 informants (27%) saved such messages regardless of their importance.

•What guided the action to destroy?

- ➤ 12 informants (55%) space challenges on the computer system
- > 4 informants (18%) used their own discretion
- ▶6 informants (27%) –appraised the e-mail records first.

5.3 Transfer of e-mail records

- transfer of e-mail records was more through making paper print-outs (73%)
- •27% transferred e-mail messages from the Inbox to external storage devices like compact disks and flash disks, but the devices had never found their way to Records Centres.

Table 3: Transfer of paper print-outs and external storage devices

Secondary storage	Paper print-outs	External storage
location		devices
Records Centre	17	-
Storerooms	5	9
Cupboards	-	8
Other	-	5
Total	22	22

Interesting findings about transfer of e-mail records

- •No external storage devices had hitherto been transferred to the Records Centre.
- •E-mail messages mainly saved on CDs and flash disks and relegated to the cupboards in creators' offices (8), storerooms (9) and HOD's cabinets (5).
- •The case was rather different with paper print-outs, where 17 informants claimed that they transferred e-mail paper print-outs to the Records Centres while 5 kept in storerooms.

5.4 Causes of the dilemma in disposing records

- •9 informants (41 %) blamed it on ever-changing technology.
- •5 informants (23%) blamed it on lack of knowledge about ICTs and electronic records management, areas which were not fully covered in the formal training programmes
- •8 informants (36%) blamed it on the NAZ, which they accused of failing to stamp authority on how e-records were supposed to be managed.

6.0 Discussion of findings

Management of e-records (including e-mail) is dented because of:

- •The poor regulatory and legal framework- NAZ Act (1986)- Dube (2011) and Mutsagondo and Chaterera (2014). Kamatula (2010) and Nasieku (2012)- policies and guidelines to manage e-records are many times non-existent, weak or out-dated in the developing world.
- •Deficiencies in ICT and e-records management skills Chaterera (2012) and Nasieku (2012). Tsvuura and Mutsagondo (2015) Common trendprovincial and district public offices are normally manned by staff not trained in records management.
- •There is no framework in place for the management of e-mail records in the developing world as in the developed world (Nengomasha: 2009).
- •The cart has been placed before the horse, resulting in individual institutions resorting to own management style as suiting their skills, resources and intuition.

7.0 Conclusion

- •The management of e-mail records needs to be seriously addressed in order to cover all aspects of managing such records, that is, creation, receipt, use, maintenance, transfer and destruction.
- •Destruction and transfer of e-mail records deserve special treatment as they dictate the availability of records in the future, a weapon to guard against litigation, fight corruption and shoddy deals and a tool to foster accountability, transparency and informed decision-making.
- •The current position where each public department manages its e-mail records according to its own discretion and resources is unacceptable. It is a time bomb that threatens the profession of archiving and as such, it should be stopped right in its tracks.

8.0 Recommendations

- •National archival institutions should craft e-mail records management policies, which the public sector should religiously follow.
- •Archival institutions should monitor and supervise e-records practices in public departments in the same manner they do with paper records.
- •The public sector to make investments in e-mail management software as well as modern ICTs.
- •Investments should also be made in skills development, especially in the realm of ICTs and ERM.
- •Uncalled for destruction of e-mail records should be stopped forthwith, lest public organizations will in future find themselves without records of administrative, evidential value and research value.
- •Organizations still caught on the horns of a dilemma due to infrastructural and human resources challenges should in the interim continue printing to paper e-mail records and let their records officers treat them in the same manner as they treat original paper records.